

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

505 VAN NESS AVENUE SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3298

December 3, 2007

12-03-07 10:29 AM

Agenda ID # 7190 Ratesetting

TO PARTIES OF RECORD IN APPLICATION 04-09-019

This is the proposed decision of Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) Patrick. It will not appear on the Commission's agenda for at least 30 days after the date it is mailed. The Commission may act then, or it may postpone action until later.

When the Commission acts on the proposed decision, it may adopt all or part of it as written, amend or modify it, or set it aside and prepare its own decision. Only when the Commission acts does the decision become binding on the parties.

Parties to the proceeding may file comments on the proposed decision as provided in Article 14 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (Rules), accessible on the Commission's website at www.cpuc.ca.gov. Pursuant to Rule 14.3, opening comments shall not exceed 15 pages.

Comments must be filed either electronically pursuant to Resolution ALJ-188 or with the Commission's Docket Office. Comments should be served on parties to this proceeding in accordance with Rules 1.9 and 1.10. Electronic copies of comments should be sent to ALJ Patrick at bdp@cpuc.ca.gov. All parties must serve hard copies on the ALJ and the Assigned Commissioner, and for that purpose I suggest hand delivery, overnight mail, or other expeditious method of service. The current service list for this proceeding is available on the Commission's website at www.cpuc.ca.gov.

/s/ ANGELA K. MINKIN Angela K. Minkin, Chief Administrative Law Judge

ANG:avs

Attachment

ALJ/BDP/avs

DRAFT

Agenda ID # 7190 Ratesetting

Decision PROPOSED DECISION OF ALJ PATRICK (Mailed 12/3/2007)

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Application of California-American Water Company for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity to Construct and Operate its Coastal Water Project to Resolve the Long-Term Water Supply Deficit in its Monterey District and to Recover All Present and Future Costs in Connection Therewith in Rates. (U210W)

Application 04-09-019 (Filed September 20, 2004)

(See Decision 06-12-040 for List of Appearances.)

INTERIM DECISION ADOPTING SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

1. Summary

This decision adopts a settlement between California-American Water Company (CalAm) and Division of Ratepayer Advocates (DRA), whereby CalAm is authorized to recover a total of \$9.31 million plus interest charges,¹ as compensation in full for all Coastal Water Project preconstruction costs incurred through December 31, 2006. CalAm will recover these costs from ratepayers through the Special Request 1 Surcharge authorized by Decision (D.) 06-12-040. The settlement adopted today does not affect CalAm's ability to recover

302604 - 2 -

¹ Allowance for Funds Used During Construction (AFUDC).

preconstruction costs incurred after December 31, 2006 and tracked in the memorandum accounts approved in D.03-09-022. This proceeding remains open to address other related matters.

2. Background

In D.06-12-040, the Commission authorized CalAm's proposed Special Request 1 Surcharge to recover through customer contributions the Coastal Water Project preconstruction costs that CalAm is tracking in the memorandum accounts approved in D.03-09-022. The Commission allowed CalAm to begin collecting customer contributions through Surcharge 1 in January 1, 2007, and continue until the full amount authorized for preconstruction costs is collected. The Commission directed that CalAm's 2005 engineering and environmental costs related to the Coastal Water Project, along with 2006 preconstruction costs, be reviewed for reasonableness for a Commission decision by year-end 2007. Today's decision addresses those issues.

In the earlier phase of the proceeding, CalAm submitted evidence in support of its previously requested engineering and environmental costs incurred through 2005. While the Commission found that CalAm had provided the Commission with a complete showing to support its request for all costs incurred for the Coastal Water Project through 2005, the Commission deferred authorization of \$5,670,073 in engineering and environmental costs incurred through 2005 to give DRA time to hire a consultant to assist it in conducting its reasonableness review of these costs. The Commission also directed CalAm to submit its report on the reasonableness of its 2006 preconstruction costs no later than March 31, 2007 (D.06-12-040).

On March 30, 2007, CalAm filed its Report on the Reasonableness of California-American Water Company's Coastal Water Project Preconstruction Costs Incurred Through 2006, together with supporting testimony in Exhibits A through F, to renew its request for recovery of the Coastal Water Project engineering and environmental costs incurred through 2005 and to demonstrate that its engineering and environmental costs, public outreach costs, legal fees and miscellaneous charges incurred in 2006 were prudent and necessary for the Coastal Water Project. On June 11, 2007, CalAm submitted supplemental direct testimony by Thomas J. Bunosky to remove \$795,876 of engineering-related expenses from CalAm's request for recovery of costs incurred for the Coastal Water Project through 2006 after DRA brought the duplication to CalAm's attention. CalAm served corrections to its Report and supporting testimony.

On July 10, 2007, DRA submitted its *Audit Report on CalAm's Coastal Water Project 2006 Preconstruction Costs* and its *Review of 2005 and 2006 Preliminary Engineering and Environmental Costs for the Coastal Water Project.* DRA reviewed CalAm's 2006 preconstruction expenses to determine if they were properly accounted for and found no material audit adjustment to the preconstruction costs as reflected in CalAm's June 28, 2007 supplemental testimony. DRA also reviewed CalAm's 2005 and 2006 preliminary engineering and environmental costs to determine if studies were duplicative to those performed by other agencies and if expenses were excessive or reasonable. DRA concluded that while the majority of the studies CalAm performed were not duplicative, CalAm's Aquifer Storage Recovery (ASR) study was duplicative of previous studies performed for the Monterey Peninsula Water Management District (MPWMD) as part of its ASR efforts.

A prehearing conference (PHC) was held on July 12, 2007, and the Parties agreed that evidentiary hearings would not be necessary. A duly noticed

settlement conference was held on July 16, 2007. Thereafter, this phase of the proceeding was submitted for decision upon the filing of the *Joint Motion to Adopt the Settlement Agreement Between California–American Water Company and the Division of Ratepayer Advocates*.

3. The Settlement

CalAm and DRA (collectively' the Parties) agreed on a resolution of each of the issues set forth in the Settlement Agreement, attached as Appendix A to this decision, which they submit for adoption pursuant to Article 12 of the Rules of Practice and Procedure. The Parties represent to the Commission that (a) the Settlement Agreement commands the sponsorship of the Parties; (b) the Parties are fairly representative of affected interests; (c) the Settlement Agreement together with the record in this proceeding conveys to the Commission sufficient information to permit the Commission to discharge its regulatory obligations with respect to the Parties and their interests; and (d) the Settlement Agreement is reasonable in light of the entire record, consistent with the law, and in the public interest, thereby satisfying Rule 12.1(d).

Specifically, the Settlement Agreement addresses (1) CalAm's engineering and environmental costs through 2005 deferred by D.06-12-040, and (2) CalAm's preconstruction costs for 2006. The Settlement Agreement provides that CalAm would be authorized to recover a total of \$9.31 million plus interest charges for Coastal Water Project preconstruction costs incurred through December 31, 2006. CalAm will recover these costs from ratepayers through the Special Request 1 Surcharge currently in effect, approved by D.06-12-040.

4. Discussion

4.1 Standard of Review

Rule 12.1(d) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure provides that the settlement must be reasonable in light of the whole record, consistent with the law, and in the public interest for the Commission to approve it. We examine the Settlement Agreement, in light of these three criteria.

4.2 Reasonable in Light of the Whole Record

The Settlement Agreement is reasonable in light of the whole record. The Parties believe their positions as set forth in the Settlement Agreement are just and reasonable. The Parties have agreed that the Commission should authorize CalAm to transfer \$9,312,664 of costs incurred for the Coastal Water Project through December 31, 2006 from the authorized memorandum accounts to the Surcharge 1 cost recovery balancing account. As set forth in the Settlement Agreement, this amount reflects a decrease to CalAm's originally requested Coastal Water Project preconstruction costs through 2006, in addition to the \$795,876 of engineering-related expenses already removed by CalAm through supplemental testimony. In addition, as part of the Settlement Agreement, CalAm has agreed to provide additional assurances to the Commission and all parties to this proceeding by submitting a report within 90 days of a final Commission decision, that demonstrates that CalAm is not seeking to recover via Surcharge 1 certain costs (Monterey District Labor and related costs for 2006 and beyond) that have been recovered through a general rate case application.

Furthermore, the extensive record supports the Settlement Agreement. As part of this proceeding, CalAm submitted its Report and extensive supporting testimony, which contain an explanation of the costs for which CalAm seeks recovery, and in many cases, supporting invoices or a

description of the specific activity, the service time period, the nature of the work conducted by the vendor, and invoice data.

4.3 Consistent With the Law

The Settlement Agreement is also consistent with applicable law and in the public interest. The Parties believe the preconstruction costs set forth in the Settlement Agreement were properly incurred in the pursuit of a long-term water supply solution to satisfy the regulatory requirements of State Water Resources Control Board Order 95-10 and the Seaside groundwater adjudication, as more fully explained in D.06-12-040. Also, as required by the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, the Parties properly noticed and held a settlement meeting on July 24, 2007.

4.4 In the Public Interest

The Parties agree that resolving this matter short of evidentiary hearings is in the public interest. Should the proceeding continue to full evidentiary hearings on the merits to address the costs incurred by CalAm for the Coastal Water Project through 2006, both parties would need to invest additional time and resources. The Parties believe the Settlement Agreement will serve the public interest by avoiding the uncertainty inherent in litigation and resolving the issues in this proceeding in a manner acceptable to the Parties. Moreover the Settlement Agreement further benefits ratepayers because the Parties will be able to save valuable time and resources that would have been expended (and passed on to customers) to litigate these issues.

In conclusion, we find the settlement to be reasonable in light of the whole record, consistent with the law, and in the public interest.

5. Future Review of Project Costs

As we stated in D.06-12-040 (p. 33), the Commission's review of costs related to the Coastal Water Project, or alternative supply solution, should remain outside the general rate case process. We will review 2007 preconstruction costs for reasonableness in 2008, in accordance with the schedule set forth in the ordering paragraphs below.

6. Comments on Proposed Decision

The proposed decision of the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) in this matter was mailed to the parties in accordance with Section 311 of the Public Utilities Code and Rule 14.2(a) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedures. Comments were filed by _______.

7. Assignment of Proceeding

Michael R. Peevey is the assigned Commissioner and Bertram D. Patrick is the assigned ALJ in this proceeding.

Findings of Fact

- 1. By D.06-12-040, CalAm was authorized to recover preconstruction costs for the Coastal Water Project through the Special Request 1 Surcharge commencing January 1, 2007.
- 2. As detailed in the Settlement Agreement, CalAm and DRA agree that CalAm should be allowed to recover \$9,312,664 plus interest charges and this amount constitutes the entirety of CalAm's recovery of Coastal Water Project preconstruction costs incurred through December 31, 2006.
- 3. Nothing in the Settlement Agreement affects CalAm's ability to recover preconstruction costs incurred for the Coastal Water Project after December 31, 2006, and tracked in the memorandum accounts approved in D.03-09-022.

Conclusions of Law

- 1. CalAm should be authorized to recover \$9,312,664 plus interest charges, as compensation in full for all Coastal Water Project preconstruction costs incurred through December 31, 2006. These costs should be recovered from ratepayers through the Special Request 1 Surcharge authorized by D.06-12-040.
- 2. The Settlement Agreement between CalAm and DRA, is reasonable, consistent with the law, and in the public interest and should be approved.

INTERIM ORDER

Therefore, IT IS ORDERED that:

- 1. The Settlement Agreement between California-American Water Company (CalAm) and Division of Ratepayer Advocates (DRA), attached to this decision as Appendix A, is approved.
- 2. CalAm is authorized to recover \$9,312,664 plus interest charges, as compensation in full for all Coastal Water Project preconstruction costs incurred through December 31, 2006, using the Special Request 1 Surcharge authorized by Decision (D.) 06-12-040.
- 3. CalAm shall file a new application and issue its report on the reasonableness of its 2007 preconstruction costs, no later than March 31, 2008. DRA shall issue its report on these costs no later than June 30, 2008. Thereafter, the Administrative Law Judge shall convene a prehearing conference and hold hearings so that a proposed decision on the reasonableness of 2007 preconstruction costs is issued before year-end 2008. (D.06-12-040, O. P. 7.)
- 4. CalAm shall submit a report to the Commission and all parties to this proceeding, within 90 days of this decision approving the settlement, that demonstrates Monterey District Labor and related costs, for 2006 and beyond,

which CalAm seeks to recover via Surcharge #1, have not been recovered through other mechanisms, such as a general rate case application.

5. This proceeding shall remain open to address other pending matters related to the Coastal Water Project.

This order is effective today.	
Dated	, at San Francisco, California

INFORMATION REGARDING SERVICE

I have provided notification of filing to the electronic mail addresses on the attached service list.

Upon confirmation of this document's acceptance for filing, I will cause a Notice of Availability of the filed document to be served upon the service list to this proceeding by U.S. mail. The service list I will use to serve the Notice of Availability of the filed document is current as of today's date.

Dated December 3, 2007, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ ANTONINA V. SWANSEN
Antonina V. Swansen

****** PARTIES ********

Marc D. Joseph Attorney At Law ADAMS, BROADWELL, JOSEPH & CARDOZO 601 GATEWAY BLVD., STE. 1000 SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO CA 94080 (650) 589-1660 mdjoseph@adamsbroadwell.com For: CALIFORNIA UNIONS FOR RELIABLE ENERGY

FOR: CALIFORNIA UNIONS FOR RELIABLE ENERGY

Christopher A. Hilen DAVIS WRIGHT TERMAINE LLP 505 MONTGOMERY STREET, SUITE 800 SAN FRANCISCO CA 94111-6533 (415) 276-6500 chrishilen@dwt.com

For: Independent Reclaimed Water Users Group

Edward W. O'Neill Attorney At Law DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE, LLP 505 MONTGOMERY STREET, SUITE 800 SAN FRANCISCO CA 94111-6533 (415) 276-6582 edwardoneill@dwt.com For: Independent Reclaimed Water Users Group

David C. Laredo
Attorney At Law
DE LAY & LAREDO
606 FOREST AVENUE
PACIFIC GROVE CA 93950
(831) 646-1502
dave@laredolaw.net
For: MONTEREY PENINSULA WATER MANAGEMENT
DISTRICT

Dan L. Carroll Attorney At Law DOWNEY BRAND LLP 555 CAPITOL MALL, 10TH FLOOR SACRAMENTO CA 95814 (916) 444-1000 dcarroll@downeybrand.com

For: Monterey County Water Resources Agency

Conner Everts 2515 WILSHIRE BLVD. SANTA MONICA CA 90403 connere@west.net Donald G. Hubbard HUBBARD & HUBBARD LLP AGUAJITO BUILDING 400 CAMINO AGUAJITO MONTEREY CA 93940-3596 (831) 372-7571 afhubb@aol.com

Marc J. Del Piero Attorney At Law MARC DEL PIERO 4062 EL BOSQUE DRIVE PEBBLE BEACH CA 93953-3011 (831) 626-4666 mjdelpiero@aol.com For: PAJARO/SUNNY MESA COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT

Monica L. McCrary Legal Division RM. 5134 505 VAN NESS AVE San Francisco CA 94102 3298 (415) 703-1288 mlm@cpuc.ca.gov

Lori Ann Dolqueist Attorney At Law STEEFEL, LEVITT & WEISS ONE EMBARCADERO CENTER, 30TH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO CA 94111 (415) 403-3255 Ldolqueist@steefel.com For: California-American Water Company

Terry Spragg TERRY G. SPRAGG & ASSOCIATES 420 HIGHLAND AVE. MANHATTAN BEACH CA 90266 (310) 374-2005 TwoKillerBs@aol.com

****** STATE EMPLOYEE *******

Diana Brooks Division of Ratepayer Advocates RM. 4102 505 VAN NESS AVE San Francisco CA 94102 3298 (415) 703-1445 dsb@cpuc.ca.gov

Deborah Cheng 88 HOFF ST., APT. 209 SAN FRANCISCO CA 94110 (415) 407-2238 dtc@cpuc.ca.gov

Michelle Cooke Administrative Law Judge Division RM. 5108 505 VAN NESS AVE San Francisco CA 94102 3298 (415) 703-2637 mlc@cpuc.ca.gov

Fred L. Curry 5 Water Division RM. 3106 505 VAN NESS AVE San Francisco CA 94102 3298 (415) 703-1739 flc@cpuc.ca.gov

Max Gomberg Division of Ratepayer Advocates RM. 4208 505 VAN NESS AVE San Francisco CA 94102 3298 (415) 703-2002 mzx@cpuc.ca.gov

Steven Kasower 1720 Q STREET SACRAMENTO CA 95814 (916) 442-1477 skasower@ucsc.edu

Lynn A. Maack Division of Ratepayer Advocates AREA 4-B 505 VAN NESS AVE San Francisco CA 94102 3298 (415) 703-3113 lam@cpuc.ca.gov

Bertram D. Patrick Administrative Law Judge Division RM. 5110 505 VAN NESS AVE San Francisco CA 94102 3298 (415) 703-2740 bdp@cpuc.ca.gov Jonathan J. Reiger Legal Division RM. 5035 505 VAN NESS AVE San Francisco CA 94102 3298 (415) 355-5596 jzr@cpuc.ca.gov

Catherine Borrowman UC SANTA CRUZ ENVIRONMENTAL STUDIES 1156 HIGH STREET SANTA CRUZ CA 95064 (831) 459-3288 cborrow@ucsc.edu

Lisa Walling Division of Ratepayer Advocates RM. 4208 505 VAN NESS AVE San Francisco CA 94102 3298 (415) 703-1492 lwa@cpuc.ca.gov

****** INFORMATION ONLY ********

Ben Adler 90.3 KAZU 167 CENTRAL AVENUE PACIFIC GROVE CA 93950 (831) 375-7275 news@kazu.org

Suma Peesapati ADAMS BROADWELL JOSEPH & CARDOZO 601 GATEWAY BLVD., SUITE 1000 SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO CA 94080 (650) 589-1660 speesapati@adamsbroadwell.com

Tanya A. Gulesserian ADAMS BROADWELL JOSEPH & CARDOZO 601 GATEWAY BLVD. STE 1000 SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO CA 94080 (650) 589-1660 tgulesserian@adamsbroadwell.com

Alan B. Lilly Attorney At Law BARTKIEWICZ, KRONICK & SHANAHAN 1011 22ND STREET, SUITE 100 SACRAMENTO CA 95816-4907 (916) 446-4254

abl@bkslawfirm.com

David P. Stephenson CALIFORNIA AMERICAN WATER 4701 BELOIT DRIVE SACRAMENTO CA 95838 (916) 568-4222 dstephen@amwater.com

For: California American Water

Steve Leonard CALIFORNIA AMERICAN WATER 50 RAGSDALE DRIVE MONTEREY CA 93940 (831) 646-3214 sleonard@amwater.com

Tom Luster CALIFORNIA COASTAL COMMISSION 45 FREMONT ST., SUITE 2000 SAN FRANCISCO CA 94105 (415) 904-5248 tluster@coastal.ca.gov

Paul G. Townsley CALIFORNIA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY 303 H STREET , SUITE 250 CHULA VISTA CA 91910 (619) 656-2400 townsley@amwater.com

Kelly Nix CARMEL PINE CONE PO BOX G-1 CARMEL CA 93921 (831) 274-8664 kelly@carmelpinecone.com

George Riley CITIZENS FOR PUBLIC WATER 1198 CASTRO ROAD MONTEREY CA 93940 (831) 645-9914 georgeriley@hotmail.com

Heather Cooley 654 13TH ST. OAKLAND CA 94612 (510) 251-1600 hcooley@pacinst.org

Frances M. Farina Attorney At Law DE LAY & LAREDO Eric Zigas ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE ASSOCIATES/WATER 225 BUSH STREET SAN FRANCISCO CA 94104 (415) 896-5900 EZigas@esassoc.com

John W. Fischer 230 GROVE ACRE, ROOM 313 PACIFIC GROVE CA 93950-2342 (831) 655-3609 wyrdjon@yahoo.com

Sean Flavin 500 CAMINO EL ESTERO, STE 200 MONTEREY CA 93940 (831) 372-7535 sflavin@redshift.com

Heather Allen FRIENDS OF THE SEA OTTER 125 OCEAN VIEW BLVD., SUITE 204 PACIFIC GROVE CA 93950 (831) 224-0134 hjallen101@yahoo.com

Jody S. London JODY LONDON CONSULTING PO BOX 3629 OAKLAND CA 94609 (510) 459-0667 jody_london_consulting@earthlink.net For: Statewide Desal Response Group

Kevin Howe MONTEREY COUNTY HERALD PO BOX 271 MONTEREY CA 93942 (831) 646-4416 khowe@montereyherald.com

Virginia Hennessey MONTEREY COUNTY HERALD PO BOX 271 MONTEREY CA 93942

Jessica Lyons MONTEREY COUNTY WEEKLY 668 WILLIAMS AVENUE SEASIDE CA 93955 (831) 394-5656 jessica@mcweekly.com

389 PRINCETON AVENUE SANTA BARBARA CA 93111 (805) 681-8822 ffarina@cox.net

For: Monterey Peninsula Water Management District

Andrew M. Bell
District Engineer
MONTEREY PENINSULA WATER MANAGEMENT DIST
PO BOX 85
MONTEREY CA 93942-0085
(831) 658-5620
andy@mpwmd.dst.ca.us

Lloyd W. Lowrey, Jr.
Attorney At Law
NOLAND, HAMERLY, ETIENNE & HOSS
PO BOX 2510 (333 SALINAS STREET)
SALINAS CA 93902
(831) 424-1414
llowrey@nheh.com
For: Independent Reclaimed Water Users Group

Sarah Hardgrave Associate RBF CONSULTING 3180N IMJIN ROAD, SUITE 110 MARINA CA 93933 (831) 883-8187 shardgrave@rbf.com

Jessica P. Nagtalon STATEWIDE DESAL RESPONSE GROUP 2363 JACKSON ST, 3 SAN FRANCISCO CA 94115 (831) 335-5911 jessnagtalon@gmail.com For: STATEWIDE DESAL RESPONSE GROUP

Sarah Leeper Attorney At Law STEEFEL, LEVITT & WEISS ONE EMBARCADERO CENTER, 30TH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO CA 94111 (415) 403-3255 sleeper@steefel.com

Lenard G. Weiss Attorney At Law STEEFEL, LEVITT & WEISS, P.C. ONE EMBARCADERO CENTER, 30TH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO CA 94111 (415) 788-0900 lweiss@steefel.com

Joe Geever Southern California Manager SURFRIDER FOUNDATION 8117 W. MANCHESTER AVE 297 PLAYA DEL REY CA 90293 (310) 410-2890 jgeever@surfrider.org For: SURFRIDER FOUNDATION